ØŠÒÖ ŒFJÁÖÒÔÁEHÁFGÆ€ÁÚT SOÞÕÁÔUWÞVŸ ÙWÚÒÜOUÜÁÔUWÜVÁÔŠÒÜS ÒËØŠÖÖ

SUPERIOR COURT OF WAASHING COUNTY

		SOI ERIOR COOLOG		GHADOD COOMIT
THE S	TATE OF WAS	HINGTON,	Plaintiff,)	No. 18-1-00672-1 SEA
HELG	A KAHR,) Defendant.))	PRESENTENCE STATEMENT OF KING COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY
CCN:	1961984	DOB: 02/14/1950	SEX: Female	
<u>CNT</u>	<u>Charge</u> Theft In The Fire	rst Degree	<u>Crime</u> 09/03/2	
1	Conviction Da			t: Guilty by Jury
2	Theft In The Se Conviction Da		09/07/2 <u>Verdic</u>	2016 <u>t:</u> Guilty by Jury
SENT	ENCING DATE:	December 6, 2019		
SENT	ENCING JUDGE	E: The Honorable Kristin	V. Richardson	
DEFE	NSE ATTORNE	Y: Miranda Lauren Maur	rmann	
	CHMENTS: TH ECUTOR'S STA		CHMENTS ARE IN	CORPORATED BY REFERENCE INTO THIS
	PROSECUTIN PLEA AGREE SENTENCING APPENDIX B	ION FOR DETERMINA NG ATTORNEY SUMM EMENT G REFORM ACT SCOR	ARY AND REQUE	
			ANIEL T. SATTERI psecuting Attorney	BERG
		ВУ		Cuting Attorney

CRIMINAL DIVISION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

AUG 09 2019

SUPERIOR COURT CLERK BY ALICIA OCHSNER DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
v.	•)	No. 18-1-00672-1 SEA
HELGA KAHR,)	
	Defendant,)	FIRST AMENDED INFORMATION
•)	
)	

I, Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney for King County in the name and by the authority of the State of Washington, do accuse HELGA KAHR of the following crime[s], which are of the same or similar character, and which are based on the same conduct or a series of acts connected together or constituting parts of a common scheme or plan: Theft In The First Degree, Theft In The Second Degree, committed as follows:

Count | Theft In The First Degree

That the defendant Helga Kahr in King County, Washington, on or about September 3, 2016, with intent to deprive another of property, to-wit: lawful U.S. currency, did wrongfully obtain such property belonging to Jeffrey A. Barrett; and did exert unauthorized control over such property belonging to Jeffrey A. Barrett; and the value of such property did exceed \$5,000;

Contrary to RCW 9A.56.030(1)(a) and 9A.56.020(1), and against the peace and dignity of the State of Washington.

And further do allege the defendant, Helga Kahr, knew or should have known that the victim of the current offense was particularly vulnerable or incapable of resistance, and the victim's vulnerability was a substantial factor in the commission of the offense, under the authority of RCW 9.94A.535(3)(b).

And further do allege the crime involves the following aggravating factor and accuse the defendant Helga Kahr used her position of trust, confidence, or fiduciary responsibility to facilitate the commission of the current offense, under the authority of RCW 9.94A.535(3)(n).

AMENDED INFORMATION - 1

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CRIMINAL DIVISION W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Scattle, WA 98104-2385 (206) 477-3733 FAX (206) 296-9009

And further do allege the crime was a major economic offense or series of offenses, so 1 identified by consideration of the following: multiple incidents per victim, monetary loss substantially greater than typical for the offense, occurred over a long period of time, and the 2 defendant used her position of trust to facilitate the commission of the current offense, under the 3 authority of RCW 9.94A.535(3)(d). 4 Count 2 Theft In The Second Degree 5 That the defendant Helga Kahr in King County, Washington, on or about September 7, 2016, with intent to deprive another of property, to-wit: lawful U.S. currency, did wrongfully 6 obtain such property belonging to Jeffrey A. Barrett; and did exert unauthorized control over such property belonging to Jeffrey A. Barrett; and the value of such property did exceed \$750; 7 Contrary to RCW 9A.56.040(1)(a) and 9A.56.020(1), and against the peace and dignity 8 of the State of Washington. 9 And further do allege the defendant, Helga Kahr knew or should have known that the victim of the current offense was particularly vulnerable or incapable of resistance, and the 10 victim's vulnerability was a substantial factor in the commission of the offense, under the authority of RCW 9.94A.535(3)(b). U And further do allege the crime involves the following aggravating factor and accuse the 12 defendant Helga Kahr used her position of trust, confidence, or fiduciary responsibility to facilitate the commission of the current offense, under the authority of RCW 9.94A.535(3)(n). 13 DANIEL T. SATTERBERG 14 Prosecuting Attorney 15 By: 16 17 Amanda Tros 18 Amanda S. Froh, WSBA #34045 19 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 20 21 22 23 24

AMENDED INFORMATION - 2

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CRIMINAL DIVISION W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104-2385 (206) 477-3733 FAX (206) 296-9009

CAUSE NO.	General Offense # 2018-36393
CAUDE NO.	

CERTIFICATION FOR DETERMINATION OF PROBABLE CAUSE

That H. Burr is a Detective with the Seattle Police Department and has reviewed the investigation conducted in Seattle Police Department Case Number 2018-36393

There is probable cause to believe that S/ Helga Kahr (DOB 2/14/1950) committed the crime(s) of Theft in the First Degree within the City of Seattle, County of King, State of Washington.

This belief is based on the following facts and circumstances:

V/Barrett was severely injured in a motor vehicle collision in October 1995 which caused a traumatic brain injury. Barrett's family hired S/Kahr to represent Barrett during this personal injury lawsuit and through his divorce. Barrett has had full guardianship of his financial estate, and partial guardianship of his person since 1997; firstly, with his brother John Barrett being appointed guardian (1997-2014), and then with S/Kahr being appointed interim guardian in September 2014, and then full guardian of his financial estate, and limited guardian of his person starting October 24, 2014. Barrett lived with his parents for more than fifteen years; but when his father died in August 2013 and his mother then had to go to an assisted living facility, he was unable to live alone and care for himself. Barrett then moved in with his guardian, S/Kahr, in January 2016 because he didn't want to move into an assisted living facility.

On September 6, 2017 the Guardianship Monitoring Program for the Superior Court of WA at Snohomish County filed a report with the court citing concerns with the propriety of certain financial transactions in relation to Barrett's estate and in the related documentation and reporting of them by his Guardian, S/Kahr. On September 6, 2017 a new Guardian ad Litem was appointed for V/Barrett and on November 3, 2017, the Guardian ad Litem requested records from the previous guardian, S/Kahr, and from the guardianship's financial institutions. On November 28, 2017 the Guardian ad Litem requested financial records from V/Barrett's financial institutions. On December 1, 2017 S/Kahr officially resigned as V/Barrett's guardian.

On 1/30/2018 V/Barrett's new guardian, Denise Meador, went to the north precinct to report a theft. Meador said on 1/19/2018 she was appointed legal guardian of V/Barrett by the Snohomish County Superior Court. Meador said S/Kahr was appointed V/Barrett's legal guardian in 2014 and in 2015, she moved V/Barrett into her house and an investigator with Snohomish County Court discovered \$280,000 from V/Barrett's account had been used to pay off S/Kahr's mortgage. On 2/07/2018 I was assigned the above case and I spoke to C/Meador who said she has been in contact with S/Kahr and she expects a payment for the entire \$280,000 from her by Friday. Meador said there is notice of a pending wire transfer from S/Kahr. Meador said she would let me know on Friday. Meador asked if we could hold-off on our investigation until the wire transfer goes through. On 2/14/2018 Meador told me she did receive a wire transfer from S/Kahr in the amount of \$282,673.90, which has been deposited into the guardian account for V/Barrett. Documents provided by V/Barrett's current guardian, Denise Meador, show S/Kahr's payoff

amount on her current residence of \$282,673.90, the entire amount taken by S/Kahr from V/Barrett's account. This money was originally wire transferred out of V/Barrett's Wells Fargo Guardianship Account into accounts at JP Morgan Chase Bank on September 3 and September 7, 2016. The beneficiary of those wire transfers were listed as "Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc." On September 6, 2016 a mortgage payoff statement from "Sclect Portfolio Servicing, INC for the property at 6007 Palatine AV N, S/Kahr's residence, was issued. Copies of the wire transfers, one for \$2002.40 on 9/07/2016 and one for \$280,671.50 on 9/03/2016 made out to "Select Portfolio Servicing INC," were included. Also included were the copies of the bank statement from V/Barrett's Wells Fargo account that show where the money was transferred out of.

On 4/04/2018 I spoke to V/Barrett who said he didn't know of any financial problems with S/Kahr and said they never spoke about money. Barrett said he never asked S/Kahr if she needed money or a loan. Barrett said he never knew about the money S/Kahr took from his account until his brother told him. Barrett said he needs the money he received from his lawsuit because he is unable to work and relies on others to pay his bills and manage his finances.

Based on the above information, there is probable cause to believe that Helga Kahr financially exploited V/Barrett who was exceedingly vulnerable to financial exploitation given his cognitive impairment and developmental disabilities. Barrett trusted Kahr as his legal guardian and attorney and was reliant upon her to assist with all of his finances. Helga Kahr betrayed Barret's trust as his attorney and as his guardian.

Under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, I certify that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed and dated by me this __18_ day of April 2018, at Seattle, Washington.

Det. Heather Burr, Seattle Police Department

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

CAUSE NO. 18-1-00672-1 SEA

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY CASE SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR BAIL AND/OR CONDITIONS OF RELEASE

The State incorporates by reference the Certification for Determination of Probable Cause prepared by Detective Heather R Burr of the Seattle Police Department for case number 2018-36393.

I, Amanda S. Froh, Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, declare that I have reviewed the Certification, discovery, and defendant's criminal history; I further declare that the following events occurred, relevant to these charges:

On August 24, 2017, Helga Kahr sent an email to Thomas Deacon, the assigned investigator from the Guardianship Monitoring Program of Snohomish County, stating the following:

[S]ome of Jeff's assets have been invested in a Seattle-based Real Estate Investment Trust to allow the guardianship estate to benefit from the appreciating Northwest real estate market without having the responsibility of property maintenance. That investment has been doing well. I do not have the entire file in front of me at the moment, some of it is with the accountant for review. The information on the REIT should be of record in the court file; if for some reason it has not made it to the court file, I will see that it gets filed.

There was no information about this REIT in the court file, so Mr. Deacon then made several requests for further documentation. When he got no response, he requested the court to assign a Guardian ad Litem (GAL) to investigate. The GAL made numerous requests, both by email and eventually by court order, for the REIT documentation. Ms. Kahr produced bankers' boxes of financial records to the new guardian, Denise Meador, in approximately February 2018, but according to Ms. Meador there was no documentation in those records regarding an REIT.

In May 2018, Kahr's attorney Sarah Atwood produced a response to the new guardian's formal request for an accounting, and in that response she provided two letters relevant to the claim that the \$283,000 in transfers from the Barrett guardianship account to Ms. Kahr's mortgage servicing company was part of an REIT. These documents had never before been produced. The first letter, dated 8/14/2017, is a letter from Helga Kahr to Jeff Barrett. It begins, "This letter confirms that we have discussed an investment and business deal involving you, me, and my house. This letter discusses the potential conflict of interest that arises for me as an attorney because of this proposed business transaction and property investment." It goes on to cite the relevant Washington Rules of Professional Conduct. In recognition of Jeff Barrett's incapacity, it states: "Because of your brain injury, you are considered to be a client with diminished capacity." The letter goes on to describe that for the \$283,000, Barrett would be purchasing a 40% interest in Kahr's Phinney Ridge house (present FMV of \$700,000 to \$705,000), and in exchange he would live rent-free and Kahr would deed the property to a trust for his percentage

Prosecuting Attorney Case Summary and Request for Bail and/or Conditions of Release - 1 Danlel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CRIMINAL DIVISION W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104-2385 (206) 477-3733 FAX (206) 296-9009 1

2

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

Prosecuting Attorney Case Summary and Request for Bail and/or Conditions of Release - 2

owned. The letter goes on to state that Ms. Kahr had discussed with Mr. Barrett that she intended to retire in the next few years, and at that time sell the house, at which time he would be paid 40% of the sale price as a return on his investment. She then states:

The ethics rules require that I recommend that you consult with another attorney in deciding whether or not your consent should be give [sic], and whether you should agree to this deal. Another attorney could talk with you about possible conflicts between us. I would suggest that you contact your brother, John Barrett, or his former attorney, Joe Chalverus, to see who they would recommend for you. You have told me that you trust me to do right by you and that you are not interested in hiring or paying another attorney.

Please consider this carefully and decide if you want to do this business and make this investment and agree to my representing you and handling the paperwork in the transaction.

At the bottom of the letter, Jeff Barrett has apparently signed his name, on August 16, 2016, to the following: "I, Jeff Barrett, consent and agree to the legal representation, the terms of the business transaction or deal, and lawyer's role in transaction as outlined in this letter. This has been explained to me, I have been able to ask whatever questions I wanted and I understand the deal."

The second letter provided in May 2018, dated August 18, 2016, is merely a reiteration of the terms of the deal, including her "intent to draft and perfect the documents to convey the 40% interest to you, the present idea being to set up a real estate investment trust, convey the property to the trust and convey your portion of the trust property to you, the idea being that you receive and [sic] equitable interest in the property proportional to your contribution." This letter is signed only by Helga Kahr.

Detective Burr did a second interview with Jeff Barrett after receiving these two documents. He confirmed he does not recognize either letter and has no memory of this agreement. The guardian, Denise Meador, confirms that these two letters were not in Jeff Barrett's papers, either those kept by Jeff in his personal effects or those provided by Ms. Kahr in the course of the litigation before May 2018.

There is no evidence that any deed was ever executed or recorded giving Jeff Barrett or a trust for his benefit a 40% interest in Kahr's home. Additionally, according to Denise Meador, bank records show that Kahr continued to charge Barrett rent to live in her home after this agreement was purportedly executed. Kahr collected \$9,600 in rent between September 2016 and December 2017.

Under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington, I, Amanda S. Froh, Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed and dated by me this 11th day of June, 2018.

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CRIMINAL DIVISION W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104-2385 (206) 477-3733 FAX (206) 296-9009

1 2 Mandatu 3 Amanda S. Froh, WSBA #34045 4 The State requests the court to issue a summons directing the defendant to appear in 5 court. As of June 11, 2018, the State is unaware of any prior criminal convictions. 6 The State requests that the court order that the defendant have no contact with victim Jeff 7 Barrett for the duration of this case. 8 9 10 Signed and dated by me this 11th day of June, 2018. 11 12 Imanda mos 13 Amanda S. Froh, WSBA #34045 14 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney 24 Prosecuting Attorney Case CRIMINAL DIVISION

Summary and Request for Bail

and/or Conditions of Release - 3

W554 King County Courthouse

(206) 477-3733 FAX (206) 296-9009

516 Third Avenue

Seattle, WA 98104-2385

POST-TRIAL STATEMENT: CONVICTIONS AND PENALTIES

Date: November 21, 2019

Defendant: Trial Judge:	HELGA KAHR		Cause No.: Verdict Date:	18-1-00672-1 SE	Α	•
☑ Jury trial	☐ Bench trial	VERDICT(S): G	uilty as charged (verdict on 10/10/201	19)	
	ft First Degree e of crime)	Count 3		Count 5		
	ft Second Degree	Count 4		Count 6		
SPECIAL FINDI	NG(S)/ VERDICT(S):					
☐ Firearm, RCW	9.94A.533 Count	(s)				
☐ Deadly Weapo	on other than firearm, RCW	9.94A.533 Count(s)				
☐ Sexual Motiva	tion, RCW 9.94A.835	Count(s)				
☐ Domestic Viol	ence, RCW 10.99.020	Count(s)				
☐ Aggravating cont(s) <u>I</u>	ircumstances, RCW 9.94A.5	35(b), (d), and (n) <u>V</u>	ılnerable Victim	<u>, Major Economic</u>	: Offense, an	d Abuse of Trust
<u>Vulnerable Vict</u>	im and Abuse of Trust	Count(s) 2				
☐ Methamphetan	nine Offense, Minor Present	, RCW 9.94A.605	Count(s)			•
☐ Other:	Count(s)					
☐ DISMISSAL:	Upon sentencing for Coun	(s), the State m	oves to dismiss C	ount(s) in this	s cause.	
☑ denial of condof victim☐ that appeal box	of RELEASE ON APPEA itions of release/ stay of sent and be set at \$ cash or of Corrections rules, appropri	ence pending appeal.	Reasons: No like	tly issues to prevail	on appeal; by the Departm	nent of Corrections subject
MAXIMUM TEI	RMS:					
Maximum (on Count(s) on Count(s) on Count(s)	is not more than is not more than is not more than	5	years each and \$ years each and \$ years each and \$	20,000	fine each. fine each.
☐ MANDATO	RY MINIMUM TERM(S) pursuant to RCW	9.94A.540 only	for Count(s)	isyea	ars each.
	RY ENHANCEMENT To is months each. The					nonths each; for r and to any other term.
☐ MANDATORY DRIVER'S LICENSE REVOCATION. RCW 46.20.285; RCW 69.50.420.						
SENTENCE RI	ECOMMENDATION is	incorporated in attac	hed form(s).			

Amanda S. Froh, WSBA #34045 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Helga Kohr 18-1-00672-1

Theft First Degree Excluding Firearm And Motor Vehicle

RCW 9A.56.030 CLASS B - NONVIOLENT

OFFENDER SCORING RCW 9.94A,525(7)

If it was found that this offense was committed with sexual motivation (RCW 9.94A.533(8)) on or after 7/01/2006, use the General Nonviolent Offense with a Sexual Motivation Finding scoring form on page 193.

If the present conviction is for a felony domestic violence offense where domestic violence was plead and proven, use the General Nonviolent Offense Where Domestic Violence Has Been Plead and Proven scoring form on page 191.

ADULT HISTORY: Enter number of felony convictions		x ! =
JUVENILE HISTORY: Enter number of serious violent and violent felony dispositions		x l =
Enter number of nonviolent felony dispositions		x 1/2 =
OTHER CURRENT OFFENSES: (Other current offenses that do not encompass the same conduct count in offender score) Enter number of other felony convictions		x 1 =
STATUS: Was the offender on community custody on the date the current offense was committed? (if yes)	,	+1=
Total the last column to get the Offender Score (Round down to the nearest whole number)		

SENTENCE RANGE

the state of the s					that were start to compare to		CATCOLON OF THE PARTY OF	AL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY.		Street and the second street streets
				Of	ender Sco	e				
	0	/ 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9+
LEVEL II	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4m	6m	8m	13m	16m	19.5m	25.5m	38m	50m
0-9	O days	2-6	3-9	4 - 12	12+ - 14	14 - 18	17 - 22	22 - 29	33 - 43	43 - 57

- For attempt, solicitation, conspiracy (RCW 9.94A.595) see page 23 or for gang-related felonies where the court found the offender involved a minor (RCW 9.94A.833) see page 186 for standard range adjustments.
- ✓ For deadly weapon enhancement, see page 190.
- ✓ For sentencing alternatives, see page 177.
- ✓ For community custody eligibility, see page 187.
- ✓ For any applicable enhancements other than deadly weapon enhancement, see page 183.

The Caseload Forecast Council is not liable for errors or omissions in the manual, for sentences that may be inappropriately calculated as a result of a practitioner's or court's reliance on the manual, or for any other written or verbal information related to adult or juvenile sentencing. The scoring sheets are intended to provide assistance in most cases but do not cover all permutations of the scoring rules. If you find any errors or omissions, we encourage you to report them to the Caseload Forecast Council.

Helga Kahr 18-1-00672-1

Theft Second Degree Excluding Firearm And Motor Vehicle

RCW 9A.56.040 CLASS C - NONVIOLENT

OFFENDER SCORING RCW 9.94A.525(7)

If it was found that this offense was committed with sexual motivation (RCW 9.94A.533(8)) on or after 7/01/2006, use the General Nonviolent Offense with a Sexual Motivation Finding scoring form on page 193.

If the present conviction is for a felony domestic violence offense where domestic violence was plead and proven, use the General Nonviolent Offense Where Domestic Violence Has Been Plead and Proven scoring form on page 191.

ADULT HISTORY: Enter number of felony convictions		x ! =
JUVENILE HISTORY: Enter number of serious violent and violent felony dispositions		x 1 =
Enter number of nonviolent felony dispositions		x ½ =
OTHER CURRENT OFFENSES: (Other current offenses that do not encompass the same conduct count in offender score) Enter number of other felony convictions		x 1 = -
STATUS: Was the offender on community custody on the date the current offense was committed? (if yes)		+1=
Total the last column to get the Offender Score (Round down to the nearest whole number)		

SENTENCE RANGE

Offender Score										
	0	/ 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9+
LEVELI	1		3m	4m	5.5m	8m	13m	16m	19.5m	25,5m
MEVEL!	0-60 days	0-90 days	2-5	2-6	3-8	4 - 12	12+- 14	14 - 18	17 - 22	22 - 29

- ✓ For gang-related felonies where the court found the offender involved a minor (RCW 9.94A.833) see page 186 for standard range adjustment.
- ✓ For deadly weapon enhancement, see page 190.
- ✓ For sentencing alternatives, see page 177.
- ✓ For community custody eligibility, see page 187.
- ✓ For any applicable enhancements other than deadly weapon enhancement, see page 183.

The Caseload Forecast Council is not liable for errors or omissions in the manual, for sentences that may be inappropriately calculated as a result of a practitioner's or court's reliance on the manual, or for any other written or verbal information related to adult or juvenile sentencing. The scoring sheets are intended to provide assistance in most cases but do not cover all permutations of the scoring rules. If you find any errors or omissions, we encourage you to report them to the Caseload Forecast Council.

APPENDIX B TO PLEA AGREEMENT PROSECUTOR'S UNDERSTANDING OF DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY (SENTENCING REFORM ACT)

DEFENDANT: HELGA KAHR FBI No: State ID No.: DOC No.:

This criminal history was compiled on: June 14, 2018

☐ None known. Recommendations and standard range assumes no prior felony convictions.							
☐ Criminal history not known a	nd not receive	ed at this time. WASIS/NCIC last	received on:				
Offense	Score	Disposition	Type*				
Comments:							
		Prepared by:					
•			Chantavy San				

STATE'S SENTENCE RECOMMENDATION (USE FOR NON-SEX OFFENSE, NON-DOSA SENTENCES OF OVER ONE YEAR ONLY)

November 21, 2019 Date of Crime: September 3, 2016 Date: HELGA KAHR Cause No: 18-1-00672-1 SEA Defendant: The State recommends that the defendant be sentenced to a term of total confinement in the Department of Corrections as follows: Crime was committed when under 18 and defendant is under 25, so confinement will begin at the Department of Children, Youth and Families (RCW 72.01.410). on Count 1 ; Days/months on Count ; 36 Months on Count _____; _____ Days/months on Count _____; 90 Days with credit for time served as provided under RCW 9.94A.505.

Terms to be served concurrently with each other.

Terms to be served concurrently/consecutively with: _____.

Terms to be consecutive to any other term(s) not specifically referred to in this form. ☐ WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT - RCW 9.94A.533: The above recommended term(s) of confinement do not include the following weapons enhancement time: ____ months for Count ____, ___ months for Count ____, ___ months for Count ____, ___ months for Count ____, served without good time and served consecutive to any other term of confinement. ☐ **ENHANCEMENT** months for Count ____. TOTAL LENGTH OF CONFINEMENT recommended in this cause, including all counts and enhancements, is <u>36</u> months. ☐ This is an agreed recommendation. NO DRUG OFFENDER SENTENCE ALTERNATIVE (DOSA) - RCW 9.94A.660: ☐ Defendant is not legally eligible for DOSA because ☐ current sex/violent offense; ☐ prior violent offense within 10 years or any prior sex offense; \square weapon enhancement; \square subject to final deportation order; □ not small quantity of drugs; □ more than one prior DOSA within 10 years; □ felony DUI or physical control. ☐ Defendant is eligible but DOSA is not recommended because _____. ■ EXCEPTIONAL SENTENCE: Substantial and compelling reasons for departing from the presumptive range are set out in the State's Sentencing Memorandum. The defendant was convicted beyond a reasonable doubt at trial of three aggravating factors under RCW 9.94A.535, each of which on their own justify an exceptional sentence in this case: Vulnerable Victim (RCW 9.94A.535(3)(b); Major Economic Offense (RCW 9.94A.535(3)(d); and Abuse of Trust (RCW 9.94A.535(3)(n). ☐ MOTOR VEHICLE FELONY ALTERNATIVE on Count(s) _____, pursuant to 2019 Wash. Laws Ch. 191, §1: Court to impose 6 to 12 months of community custody to be supervised by DOC; incarceration shall not exceed the midpoint of the standard range less one-third of the community custody term imposed. NO CONTACT: For the maximum term, defendant shall have no contact, direct or indirect, in person, in

☐ and pursuant to RCW 10.99 or RCW	V 26.50.
RCW 9.41.330:	DFFENSE (defined by RCW 9.41.010) as to which, pursuant to tration is mandatory; or ration may be required and the State recommends it.
MONETARY PAYMENTS: Defendant shall n Mandatory \$500 Victim Penalty Assessment previously collected DNA as a result of a □ Restitution as set forth in the "Plea Age □ Court costs; recoupment of cost for age □ Other:	nent; \$100 DNA collection fee (mandatory unless the State has prior conviction). greement" page and \square
 □ Count(s), Serious violent offens committed before 8/1/2009). □ Count(s), Violent offense: 18 n □ Count(s), Crimes against person 9-12 months if crime committed before 	as or violation of Ch. 69.50 or .52: 12 months each (a range of
□ Obtain an alcohol/substance abuse evarecommendations.	ory conditions as well as discretionary conditions set by the lends the court impose these discretionary conditions : aluation within 30 days of release and follow all treatment make reasonable progress in, and successfully complete state-t.
estate, or representative payee, or as a signatory	f trust (such as power of attorney, as the executor of an on a joint account with a financial institution) or work (paid adult or for any organization that primarily provides service.
associated with needle use. DNA testing. Revoc	od testing for any prostitution related offense, or a drug offense ration of the right to possess a FIREARM. DRIVER'S ACW 69.50.420). REGISTRATION is required pursuant to isonment offenses.
State's Sentence Recommendation	Cause No. 18-1-00672-1 SEA
	Mandakan

Amanda S. Froh, WSBA#34045 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney